

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)

rluskey@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**

535 Mission Street, 24th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (628) 432-5100

Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* forthcoming)

ratkins@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 373-3183

Facsimile: (212) 492-0183

*Attorneys for Defendants*

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; and RASIER, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

KATHERINE HYLIN, an individual

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware  
Corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware Limited  
Liability Company; and DOES 1 through 50,  
Inclusive.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-01630-AMO

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE  
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
RASIER, LLC'S MOTION TO  
TRANSFER VENUE TO THE  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

Date: August 17, 2023

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 10 – 19th Floor

Action Filed: April 5, 2023

Trial Date: None Set

1 TO THIS HONORABLE COURT:

2 Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Rasier LLC (“Uber”) request that the Court take  
3 judicial notice, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, of certain evidence in support of its  
4 Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of Illinois.

5 Under Federal Rule of Evidence 201, a federal court may take judicial notice of facts that  
6 “can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be  
7 questioned.” Fed R. Evid. 201(b)(2). Judicial notice of such facts must be taken when requested  
8 by a party who supplies the court with the necessary information. *Id.* Rule 201(c)(2). Proceedings  
9 and orders by other courts, whether in the state or federal system, may be judicially noticed.  
10 *Duckett v. Godinez*, 67 F.3d 734, 741 (9th Cir. 1995); *Dawson v. Mahoney*, 451 F.3d 550, 551 n.1  
11 (9th Cir. 2006).

12 Uber respectfully requests the Court take judicial notice of the following orders by the  
13 Superior Courts of California related to Uber’s Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District  
14 of Illinois.<sup>1</sup>

15 1. The Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco’s January 23, 2023 Order  
16 granting Uber’s Motion to Stay or Dismiss Based on *Forum Non Conveniens* in *In re Uber*  
17 *Rideshare Cases*, CJC-21-005188. This document is attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of  
18 Randall S. Luskey in Support of Uber’s Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of Illinois.

19 2. The Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco’s August 11, 2017 Order  
20 Granting Uber’s Motion to Dismiss Based on *Forum Non Conveniens* in *Jane Doe v. Uber Techs.,*  
21 *Inc.*, CGC-17-556481. This document is attached as **Exhibit 2** to the Declaration of Randall S.  
22 Luskey in Support of Uber’s Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of Illinois.

23 3. The Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco’s March 24, 2020 Order  
24 Granting Uber’s Motion to Stay Based on *Forum Non Conveniens* in *Jane Doe v. Uber Techs.,*  
25

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> These orders are not subject to N.D. California Local Rule 3-4(e) because they have not been  
28 designated as “NOT FOR CITATION” by any court. By contrast, under California Rule of  
Court, only California appellate decisions that are not certified for publication are not considered  
citable in California proceedings. Cal. Ct. R. 8.1115(a). That rule does not address trial court  
decisions like the ones that are the subject of this request for judicial notice.

1 *Inc.*, CGC-19-579901. This document is attached as **Exhibit 3** to the Declaration of Randall S.  
2 Luskey in Support of Uber's Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of Illinois.

3 4. The Superior Court of California for the County of San Francisco's July 1, 2019 Order  
4 Granting Uber's Motion to Dismiss Based on *Forum Non Conveniens* in *Gorne & Walker v. Uber*  
5 *Techs., Inc.*, CGC-19-575852. This document is attached as **Exhibit 4** to the Declaration of  
6 Randall S. Luskey in Support of Uber's Motion to Transfer Venue to the Central District of Illinois.

7  
8 DATED: June 5, 2023

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &  
GARRISON LLP**

9  
10 By: /s/ Randall S. Luskey  
11 RANDALL S. LUSKEY  
12 ROBERT ATKINS

13 *Attorney for Defendants*  
14 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
15 and RASIER, LLC  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28